

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/598,477	06	/22/2000	Takayuki Nyu	NE-1005-US/KM	5516
21254	7590	08/05/2005		EXAMINER	
MCGINN &	•		TSEGAYE, SABA		
8321 OLD COURTHOUSE ROAD SUITE 200				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
VIENNA, VA 22182-3817				2662	
				DATE MAILED: 08/05/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/598,477	NYU, TAKAYUKI					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Saba Tsegaye	2662					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE!	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Ap	<u>oril 2005</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	·						
•							
Disposition of Claims							
 4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) 1-8,10-13 and 16-24 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 14 and 15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 9 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	vn from consideration.						
Application Papers	•	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:						
. apoi itologimai bato	J, L. 00.101						

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This Office Action is in response to the amendment filed on 04/20/05. Claims 1-24 are pending. Claims 1-8, 10-13 and 16-24 are allowed. Claims 14 and 15 are rejected. Claim 9 is objected.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 9 is objected to because of the following informalities: the phrases "the decoded signal" line 5, and "the network" line 8, appears to raise lack of antecedent bases issues.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. Claims 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bastiani et al. (US 6,609,167) in view of Cote et al (US 5,870,556).

Bastiani discloses a host and device serial communication protocols and communication packet formats. Further, Bastiani discloses that the normal idle condition for the device receiver is looking for a packet from the host (claimed setting a state machine in a receive mode). A slave device sensing the end of the packet and wishing to send a response must wait 20 ns minimum (turnaround time) before a transmission. When a response is required from the device the line is turned around to the host and transmission initiated. The turnaround time is a time to allow the line to settle and to allow the host to enable its receiver (column 24, lines 19-27) column 42,

lines 31-42). Further, Bastiani discloses that the ASP protocol uses a byte count to determine the end of the packet (as in claim 15) (column 24, lines 49-57).

However, Bastiani fails to disclose that the turnaround time is based upon the exchange of signals between the network node and remote node.

Cote teaches that each message includes timestamp information that is used to calculate components of a round-trip time. The turnaround time is determined by taking the difference between the **time** indicated by the reply-sent indicator and the time indicated by the request-received indicator (column 7 lines 5-16).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Bastiani's system to determine the turnaround time between nodes based upon the exchange of signals between the network node and the remote node, as taught by Cote. The suggestion/motivation is that Bastiani discloses that the turnaround time (20 ns) is a time to allow the line to settle and to allow the host to enable its receiver, therefore modifying the 20 ns of turnaround time to a turnaround time base upon the exchange of signals would provide more accurate and efficient system and subsequent collisions are avoided.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 1-8, 10-13 and 16-24 are allowed.
- 5. Claim 9 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the objections, set forth in this Office action.

Application/Control Number: 09/598,477 Page 4

Art Unit: 2662

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-24 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Saba Tsegaye whose telephone number is (571) 272-3091. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (7:30-5:00), First Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hassan Kizou can be reached on (571) 272-3088. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ST August 2, 2005

JOHN PEZZLO

PRIMARY EXAMINER